The ignored historical context of so-called “Black Ribbon Day,” August 23, 1939

On Aug. 15, 1939, the UK & France refused the Soviet’s plea to ally with them in a war to stop Hitler

On Sept. 30, 1938, when Britain & France signed their deal with Hitler and Mussolini, King “thanked God”

On August 23, 1939, the USSR reluctantly signed a treaty with Germany

Passing ‘double genocide’ into law

Dropping Holocaust Memorial Day

‘PEACE for our time’

On Sept. 19, 2019, Europe’s Parliament used the German-Soviet pact of Aug. 23, 1939, to put equal blame on the Nazis and the Soviets for causing WWII. “The resolution,” said the International Association of Democratic Lawyers, “is replete with false assertions and insinuations.” Stifling debate, freedom of speech and academic honesty, the resolution also said that textbooks in EU countries should be changed to reflect this revisionist version of WWII history.

In 2008, a Hungarian law (2010), punishable by three years in jail, made it illegal to say that there was only one genocide in WWII. Similar laws in Lithuania (2010) and Latvia (2014) threaten two to five years behind bars for opposing the Double Genocide canard which equates the Soviets with Nazism.

Writing for the Simon Wiesenthal Centre, Rabbi Abraham Cooper said: “Latvia and Lithuania are in the forefront of a campaign... to drop International Holocaust Memorial Day and fold it into a new commemoration in August [Black Ribbon Day] to ‘remember’ both victims of the Nazi Holocaust and of communism.” This, said Cooper, created the appalling prospect of paying respect to the victims of the Holocaust and its perpetrators in a single ceremony, while ignoring that it was the Red Army who liberated Auschwitz on Jan. 27, 1945.

Source: Dougald Lamont, “The problem with the monument to victims of communism,” CBC, April 24, 2015.

Black Ribbon Day, [August 23]... sponsored by ultranationalist elements in Eastern Europe, has been one of the most cunning tools for writing the Holocaust out of history via the “Double Genocide” movement that seeks to “equalize” Nazi and Soviet crimes.

That night, when King heard that his British and French allies had signed a friendly treaty with Hitler and Mussolini, he was ecstatic: “I... knelt down and thanked God with all my heart for the peace that had been preserved to the world.”

That week after the UK and France refused last-ditch Soviet requests to join them in an alliance to wage war on Nazism, the USSR felt compelled to sign a treaty with Germany. The Soviets alone could not defeat the Nazis and so they desperately needed time to build their defences against Germany’s coming invasion. Without that extra time, the USSR would surely have been defeated and the Nazis would have won WWII.

On August 23, 1939, the USSR reluctantly signed a treaty with Germany,

On Sept. 30, 1938, when Britain & France signed their deal with Hitler and Mussolini, King “thanked God”

When Prime Minister King, learned that Hitler had welcomed Chamberlain at a “very friendly” meeting in Munich, he wrote in his diary: “What a happy man Chamberlain must be and what an example he has set the world in perseverance of a just cause.”

The UK-France peace treaty with Germany and Italy, gave the Nazis their blessing to invade and occupy Czechoslovakia.

Britain’s Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain called it:

On Sept. 30, 1938, when Britain & France signed their deal with Hitler and Mussolini, King “thanked God”

On Aug. 23, 1939, the USSR reluctantly signed a treaty with Germany

On August 23, 1939, the USSR reluctantly signed a treaty with Germany

“Double Genocide”: The Myth of Nazi-Soviet equivalency

On Aug. 15, 1939, the UK & France refused the Soviet’s plea to ally with them in a war to stop Hitler

A t a Kremlin meeting on Aug. 15, 1939, Canada’s top European allies refused a Soviet plea to join them in a military alliance to stop Hitler’s plans for war in Europe. Details of these efforts to build an anti-Nazi alliance were revealed in 700 pages of declassified Soviet documents in 2008. Retired Russian Maj. Gen. Lev Sotskov explained that “in the event of an anti-Hitler agreement with Britain and France” the USSR offered to deploy “300 or more divisions... against Germany” with “120 infantry divisions, 16 cavalry divisions, 5,000 tanks and as many aircraft.” This, he said, was double Hitler’s forces and was “the final chance to slay the wolf... after Chamberlain and the French had given up Czechoslovakia to German aggression the previous year in the Munich Agreement.”

It was clear that the Soviet Union stood alone and had to turn to Germany and sign a non-aggression pact to gain some time to prepare ourselves for the conflict that was clearly coming.


Rather than adopting the accepted Western chronicle of WWII and the Holocaust, local authorities in many Eastern European countries have sought to create an alternative narrative of the events to achieve two major objectives: [1] to hide, or minimize, the role played by local Nazi collaborators in the annihilation of European Jewry... [2] to convince world opinion that the crimes of the Soviet Union and its satellite Communist regimes were equally evil... to those of the Nazis, and that these crimes, too, constituted genocide. Historically inaccurate, the canard of equivalency is politically of central importance to certain quarters in Eastern and Central Europe where they seek to deflect or avoid painful discussions about local participation by their nationals in Holocaust crimes.

This phenomenon is particularly noticeable in Ukraine.
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